

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO),	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,706	10/045,706 01/15/2002		Ralph Thomas Hoctor	RD-28,331	9827	
6147	7590	09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
GENERA GLOBAL		TRIC COMPANY	OROPEZA, FRANCES P			
		RM. BLDG. K1-4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NISKAYU	JNA,`NY	12309	3762	7		
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				111/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/045,706	HOCTOR ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Frances P. Oropeza	3762	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	;s
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commus BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal matt	•	erits is
Dianosit	ion of Claims	, , ,	·	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>10-15 and 21-26</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2002 in Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific terms of the specific terms	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ o to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
2) Notice 3) Information	tit(s) De of References Cited (PTO-892) De of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/1844) The results of the second seco	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/045,706

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, line 1, "said sensors" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 5 is unclear because in line 2 "a sensor" is claimed. Claim 5 depends on claim 1 which also claims "a sensor" in line 3.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,706

Art Unit: 3762

- 3. Claims 1-8 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garfield et al. (US 5776073). Garfield et al. disclose a method and apparatus to analyze electrical activity from the surface of the abdomen to diagnose contractile patterns /EMG signals, using auto regressive analysis and a Least Square adaptation algorithm, displaying the results on the monitor (23) (figure 1A, 2, 15; col. 1 @ 15-25; col. 8 @52-65; col. 10 @ 7-20; col. 19 @ 25-30; col. 20 @ 20-30).
- 4. Claims 1-9 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maalouf et al. (US 6678551).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Maalouf et al. disclose a system and method to reduce noise in EMG signals from an external abdominal surface to detect uterine contractions, using auto regressive analysis and a Least Square adaptation algorithm, placing the results on the display (90) (abstract; figure 1; col. 2 @ 59 - col. 3 @ 5; col. 5 @ 49- col. 6 @ 28; col. 8 @ 46-59; col. 10 @ 35-38).

Art Unit: 3762

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-15 and 21-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frances P. Oropeza whose telephone number is (703) 605-4355. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181.

The telephone number for facsimiles for regular communication and After Final communications is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762 9/25/04

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel D. Soft